UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/571,747	03/14/2006	Eric L. Meijer	PHUS030320US	1210
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P. O. Box 3001			EXAMINER	
			LARYEA, LAWRENCE N	
BRIARCLIFF I	MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			3768	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/13/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Occurrence	10/571,747	MEIJER, ERIC L.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	LAWRENCE N. LARYEA	3768					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 Ja	nuary 2009						
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan		secution as to the merits	is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2,4-7,9,11-13 and 15-21</u> is/are pendin	g in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	-						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) <u>2,4-7,9,11-13 and 15-21</u> is/are rejecte	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
·= · · ·	·= · · · · — ·						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	•						
	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			(/-				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 LLS C & 119(a)	-(d) or (f)					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 0.5.6. § 115(a)	-(u) or (i).					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior							
application from the International Bureau	•	a III IIII3 National Otage					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of		d					
Goo the attached actained emice action for a list of	or the continue copies het receive	u.					
Attackers with							
Attachment(s) 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) Interview Comments	(DTO 413)					
Notice of References Cited (P10-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal P						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) [Other:						

Art Unit: 3768

DETAILED ACTION

Examiner acknowledges Applicant's amendment and remarks filed 24 October 2007

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 2, 4,9,11,12,15,18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Dewaele (US Patent 7,065,235)** in view of **Ema et al (US Patent 5,779,634)** and further view of **Nafstadius (US 2004/005027)**.
- 3. Dewaele teaches a diagnostic image processing system comprising: a user interface (display) (See Figures 7 and 8) with which a user selects a region of interest of at least a baseline diagnostic images from which the parameter values are to be extracted (See Col. 5, lines 1-19) a parameter extraction processor (a computer program inherently run by a processor) for extracting like parameter values from the selected region of interest from data (reference images) for generating the baseline and stored diagnostic images and a report formatting means for formatting the extracted parameter values from the baseline and diagnostic images generated at different times into a report descriptive of the parameter value development with time (See Col. 6,

Art Unit: 3768

lines 48-67, Col. 10, lines 6-64, Col. 9, lines 20-22, Col. 12, lines 22-42 and Claim 13 and Fig. 8).

- 4. Dewaele teach the claimed invention see rejection supra, Dewaele does not expressly teach that the medical information processing system includes a subject database that stores the diagnostic images each in association with at least a patient identity and date, the database being, updated each time a patient is imaged and searching means
- 5. Ema et al (US Patent 5,779,634) teach a medical information processing system wherein a subject database that stores the diagnostic images each in association with at least a patient identity and date, the database being, updated each time a patient is imaged and searching means (See Col.6, lines 39- 67, Col.7, lines 64-67, Tables 16,1,2,3,6-10, Col.1, lines 27-32, Col.25, lines 12-32, Col.37, lines 40-67) in order to evaluate medical examination more efficiently (See Col.1, lines 9-14 and Col.3, lines 23-64).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify a diagnostic image processing system of **Dewaele** wherein a subject database that stores the diagnostic images each in association with at least a patient identity and date, the database being, updated each time a patient is imaged and searching means of **Ema et al** in order to evaluate medical examination more efficiently as taught by **Ema et al**.

Art Unit: 3768

6. The combination of **Dewaele and Ema et al** does not <u>expressly</u> teach that the medical information processing system includes comparing the current subject database with the same subject stored images.

- 7. **Nafstadius (US 2004/005027)** teach a medical information processing system including comparing the current subject database with the same subject stored images in order to detect any anatomical or spatial different for further imaging analyses procedures (See abstract, paragraphs [0001],[0005],[0006],[0018] and [0026]).
- 8. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify a diagnostic image processing system of **Dewaele and Ema et al** including comparing the current subject database with the same subject stored images in order to detect any anatomical or spatial different for further imaging analyses procedures in order to help user to know or see changes, developments in tumor tissue and misplacement of current subject during a radiation diagnostic imaging as taught by **Nafstadius**.
- 9. Claims 6,13,15-17,19,20 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Dewaele**, **Ema et al** in view of **Nafstadius** as applied in Claim 2 and further view of **Hochman (US 2003/0236458)**.
- **10. Dewaele, Ema et al and Nafstadius** teach the claimed invention see rejection supra **Dewaele, Ema et al and Nafstadius** do not teach that the diagnostic processing system includes a graphing means for plotting change of selected parameter versus time.

Art Unit: 3768

11. Hochman teaches a diagnostic processing system includes graphing means for plotting change of selected parameter versus time in order to monitor various medical conditions such as blood characteristics, blood flow and abnormalities in tissues during examination purposes (See Fig. 2-7B, Paragraphs [0028], [0119],[0002], [0204], and [0263]).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify a diagnostic processing system of **Dewaele**, **Ema et al and Nafstadius** to includes graphing means for plotting change of selected parameter versus time of **Hochman** in order to order to monitor various medical conditions such as abnormalities (tumor) in tissues during examination purposes (**See Fig. 2-7B**, **Paragraphs [00110]**, as taught by **Hochman**.

- 12. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable **Dewaele, Ema** et al and **Nafstadius** as applied in Claim 2 and further view of **Washburn et al (Patent 6,379,306)**.
- **13. Dewaele, Ema et al and Nafstadius** teach the claimed invention see rejection supra **Dewaele, Ema et al and Nafstadius** do not teach that the diagnostic processing system includes a cine generator.
- 14. Washburn et al (Patent 6,379,306) teach a diagnostic processing system which includes a cine generator in order to display acquired images in a video format (See Col.9, lines 39-64, Col.6, lines 27-53, Fig.5 and 6).

Art Unit: 3768

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify a diagnostic processing system of **Dewaele**, **Ema et al and Nafstadius** includes a cine generator of **Washburn et al** in order to display acquired images in a video or movie format as known in the art.

Response to Arguments

Claims 2, 4-7, 9, 11-13, 15-21 are now pending. The Examiner acknowledges the amendments to Claims 2, 4-7, 9 and 18.

Applicant's arguments with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 2, 4-7,9, 11-13,15-21 have been fully considered have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

15. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 3768

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LAWRENCE N. LARYEA whose telephone number is (571)272-9060. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30 a.m.-5:30 p.m. EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Long Le can be reached on 571-272-08230823. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

/L. N. L./ /Eric F Winakur/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3768